

THERMAL DECOMPOSITION KINETICS FOR NICKEL /II/ OXALATE DIHYDRATE

Azeddine Alloun<sup>†</sup> and C.G.R. Nair, Institut National de  
l'Enseignement Supérieur en Electrotechnique, Oued-Aissi.  
Tizi-Ouzou Algeria

ABSTRACT

The thermal decomposition of nickel oxalate dihydrate /NiC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O/ has been studied in detail in a nitrogen atmosphere. Two clear-cut and non-overlapping stages are found :  
Step 1 = dehydration, NiC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O → NiC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O, and  
Step 2 = decomposition, NiC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> → NiO + CO + CO<sub>2</sub>.  
The temperatures of inception /Ti/, completion /Tf/ and maximum rate of decomposition /Ts/ are recorded. Kinetic parameters /E and log<sub>10</sub>A/ have been computed by the Coats-Redfern method. E ranges from 15 to 20 K cal mole<sup>-1</sup> for step 1 and from 50 to 70 K cal/mole for step 2. log<sub>10</sub>A varies from 5 to 8 for step 1 and from 18 to 25 for step 2.

INTRODUCTION

Oxalate decomposition is a time-honoured route for the preparation of metal oxalates has been studied by a large number of workers from very early times. Recently, increasing interest has been bestowed on the Kinetics of thermal decomposition of metal oxalates.<sup>1,2</sup> As part of a programme of study encompassing simple inorganic compounds, minerals and polymers, we undertook a study of nickel/II/ oxalate dihydrate. The results are presented in this communication. Measuring methods and apparatus a Netszch Combined thermobalance DTA apparatus was employed in preliminary studies and later the detailed studies were made with a Dupont thermobalance 990-951 model.

The studies were carried out in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere /gas flow 50 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>/. Three sample masses /5,10 and 20 mg/ and three heating rates /2°, 5° and 10° per minute/ were employed. Temperatures of inception /Ti/, completion /Tf/ and maximum decomposition /Ts/ were recorded. Kinetics parameters /E=energy of activation and A=pre-exponential factor/ were calculated using the Coats-Redfern Equation.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results are presented in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 presents the phenomenological data and table 2 gives the kinetics parameters. Table 1 shows that  $T_i$  tends to vary only slightly when  $m$  or  $\phi$  are varied, whereas  $T_f$  and  $T_s$  vary more markedly. This is in accordance with observations of earlier workers.<sup>3</sup> It may be seen from table 2 that  $E$  ranges from 15 to 20 Kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for step 1 and from 50 to 70 Kcal mole<sup>-1</sup> for step 2, omitting values for which the correlation coefficient is low.  $\log_{10}A$  varies from 5 to 8 for step 1 and from 18 to 25 for step 2. Quantitative correlations, however, could not be made for these variations.

### REFERENCES

- 1 C.G.R. Nair and K.N. Ninan, *Thermochimica Acta* 23 /1978/ 161.
- 2 K.N. Ninan and C.G.R. Nair, *Thermochimica Acta* 30 /1979/ 25.
- 3 S.R. Dharwadkar and M.D. Karkhanawala, *Thermal Analysis* vol II, Academic Press /1969/ P. 1049.

Tabele 1. Effect of variation of  $m$  and  $\emptyset$  on  $T_i$ ,  $T_f$  and  $T_s$

| Reaction $\rightarrow$ |  | step 1 |       |       | step 2 |       |       |
|------------------------|--|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| $m$<br>(mg)            | $\emptyset$<br>( $^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ ) | $T_i$  | $T_f$ | $T_s$ | $T_i$  | $T_f$ | $T_s$ |
| 5                      | 2  | 383    | 488   | 476   | 518    | 618   | 603   |
|                        | 5  | 408    | 518   | 493   | 568    | 638   | 619   |
|                        | 10   | 398    | 533   | 508   | 563    | 648   | 635   |
| 10                     | 2  | 398    | 518   | 483   | 548    | 618   | 604   |
|                        | 5  | 423    | 526   | 503   | 563    | 645   | 623   |
|                        | 10   | 423    | 553   | 518   | 578    | 653   | 635   |
| 20                     | 2  | 398    | 528   | 498   | 548    | 618   | 603   |
|                        | 5  | 418    | 523   | 508   | 568    | 648   | 604   |
|                        | 10   | 416    | 558   | 528   | 563    | 623   | 608   |

Explanations :  
 $m$  = mass of sample ;  $\emptyset$  = heating rate ;  
 $T_i$ ,  $T_f$  and  $T_s$  are given in Kelvin

Tabele 2. Effect of variation of  $m$  and  $\emptyset$  on  $E$  and  $A$

| Reaction $\rightarrow$<br>Order $\rightarrow$ |  | Step 1 ; $x=0,65$ |                       |          | Step 2 ; $x=0,35$ |                          |          |
|---|--|-------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| $m$<br>(mg)                                   | $\emptyset$<br>( $^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ ) | $E$               | $A$                   | $\gamma$ | $E$               | $A$                      | $\gamma$ |
| 5   | 2  | 16,84             | 2,17<br>$\times 10^6$ | 0,9820   | 35,92             | 2,11<br>$\times 10^{11}$ | 0,9388   |
|   | 5  | 20,74             | 1,73<br>$\times 10^8$ | 0,9890   | 55,69             | 4,53<br>$\times 10^{18}$ | 0,9805   |
|   | 10   | 16,52             | 2,95<br>$\times 10^6$ | 0,9890   | 61,75             | 4,74<br>$\times 10^{20}$ | 0,9894   |
| 10  | 2  | 17,56             | 3,12<br>$\times 10^6$ | 0,9900   | 70,44             | 1,47<br>$\times 10^{24}$ | 0,9906   |
|   | 5  | 20,72             | 1,03<br>$\times 10^8$ | 0,9980   | 76,38             | 9,28<br>$\times 10^{25}$ | 0,9993   |
|   | 10   | 18,57             | 1,17<br>$\times 10^7$ | 0,9970   | 72,22             | 1,74<br>$\times 10^{24}$ | 0,9950   |
| 20  | 2  | 16,72             | 7,32<br>$\times 10^5$ | 0,9924   | 48,01             | 3,75<br>$\times 10^{15}$ | 0,9660   |
|   | 5  | 17,36             | 2,92<br>$\times 10^6$ | 0,9960   | 70,12             | 5,80<br>$\times 10^{23}$ | 0,9977   |
|   | 10   | 15,70             | 5,20<br>$\times 10^5$ | 0,9970   | 38,10             | 1,19<br>$\times 10^{12}$ | 0,9777   |

Explanations :  $E$  = energy of activation /in Kcal  $\text{mole}^{-1}$ /  
 $A$  = pro-experimental factor/in  $\text{sec}^{-1}$ /  
 $n$  = order parameter  
 $\gamma$  = correlation coefficient